| K | No. 5 Ring R Telephone N | oad LISP II, Brgy. L o. (049) 545-7166 to | E PHILIPPINE INC. a Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna 69 | IN | IVESTIGA | | | FORM (IRF) | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| ine ' | Fax No. (049 |) 545-6302 | | Contro | No.: IRF-07-000 | 4 | Date Issued: | 11-Jul-22 | |
| Customer EPPI IJP | | | | Attention To NOEMI CEPEDA | | | | | |
| Item Code 516378500 | | | 4 | Department | | KPLIMA-PRODUCTION | | | |
| Item Description LIME 2 FB AM | | | TERICA | Date of Detection | | 06-Jul-22 | | | |
| Job Order Number 18068 | | | | Section Detected | | INLINE QA | | | |
| | L | LUSTRATION O | F THE PROBLEM | | Major | | Minor | | |
| | | | | L | ot Quantity (pcs.) | Reject Qua | | Reject Percentage | |
| | EPSON (Biology Mr-2560) | EPSON 25.00 | To straight " | Nature | e of Defect: | SCRAT | | 2.000 | |
| | | | | Requi | rement: | | | | |
| | | -0 | | ITE | M SHOULD BE IN G | OOD CONDITIO | N; NO OCCUF | RRENCE OF SCRATCHES | |
| | | 1971 | A REJECT | Actua | | ES OCCURRED | ON UPPER F | LAP CLASS B | |
| | NO. OF OCCURE | RENCE | DISPOSITION | | AREA OF OCC | URRENCE / ORI | GIN | CONTENT | |
| | First | | Hold | | Slotter | Gluin | g | Material | |
| | Recurrence | | Special Acceptance | Special Acceptance | | EQOS Vertical Dimension | | | |
| | No.: | | For Rework | | Diecut | Other | rs: | Appearance | |
| | Date: | - | Reject / Disposal | | Detaching | | | Process / Method | |
| | Issued by | | Checked by | | Approved | by | | Received by (Receiving Section) | |
| | C. Arevalo QA-IE Stat | | G. Massino GA Supervisor | | QA Asst. Mar | nager | den Jo | N. Cepeda Head/ Supervisor | |
| | | | I. INVESTIGA | TION / A | NALYSIS | | | | |
| | DIRECT CAUSE: (| Analyze the rease | on of occurrence, why it happened?) | | INDIRECT CAUS | E: (Analyze the re | eason of occur | rence, why it leaked?) | |
| aining | Why 1: Why 2: | | | Why 1: Why 2: | | | | | |
| System / Training | Why 3: Why 4: | | 2 | Why 3: | | | | AL No. | |
| | Why 5: | | | Why 5: | | | | | |
| sg | Why 1: | | | Why 1: | | | | | |
| Design / Toolings | Why 2: | | | Why 2 | | | | | |
| L/ugi | Why 3: | | | Why 3: | | | | | |
| Desi | Why 4: | | | | Why 4: | | | | |
| | Why 5: | | | Why 5 | | | | | |
| ria Iai | Why 1: | | | Why 1 | | | | | |
| Process / Material | Why 2: | | | Why 2 | | | | | |
| / ssa | Why 3: | | | Why 3 | | | | | |
| Procé | Why 4: | | | Why 4 | | | | | |
| | Why 5: | | | Why 5 | | | | | |

 $L^{q_{1}}$

KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC.

No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69

INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF)

| | | | Water Street | | Market Street | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | FINAL CON | CLUSION | | | | | | |
| | OCCURRENCE | ROOTCAUSE | | | OUTFLOW ROOTCAUSE | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IMMEDIATE ACTION: (Action to be done to contain/ temporary correct the problem found) | | | | | | CORRECTIVE ACTION: (Actions to be done to ensure that the problem will not happen again) | | | | | |
| . Sorting Result | | | | | | Actions to be o | lone to eliminate recurrence | Who / When | | | |
| Lo | ocation | Total Stock | NG | Total Good | | | _ | | | | |
| RM | | | | | System | | | | | | |
| WIP | | | | | System | | | | | | |
| FG | | | | | | | | | | | |
| . Orientation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | e Time | | | | Design / | | | | | | |
| Title | | | | | Tools | | | | | | |
| Attendees | | | | | | | | | | | |
| . Reworking | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rework Quantity | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Good | | | | | Process | | | | | | |
| Rework Percentage (Good | i) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | The state of the s | | | Data Candu | otod: | DIO | ' | | | |
| II. QA ROOTCAUSI | VERIFICATION | N (To be filled ou | ut by QA In | -charge) | Date Condu | cieu | PIC: | | | | |
| II. QA ROOTGAUSI | | N (To be filled ou | ut by QA In | -charge) | Date Condu | steu | Recommendation | | | | |
| II. QA ROOTCAUSI | | Rootcause | | | 9 | | Recommendation | | | | |
| II. QA ROOTCAUSI | Identified | Rootcause | CTIVE ACTI | ON VERIFICATI | ON (To be fi | | Recommendation | | | | |
| II. QA ROOTGAUSI | Identified | Rootcause | | ON VERIFICATI | 9 | | Recommendation | | | | |
| II. QA ROOTCAUSI | Identified | Rootcause | CTIVE ACTI | ON VERIFICATI | ON (To be fi | | Recommendation | | | | |
| | Identified | Rootcause | CTIVE ACTI | ION VERIFICATI | ON (To be fi | | Recommendation | | | | |
| 1st Verification of Action | Identified | Rootcause | CTIVE ACTI | ION VERIFICATI Implen [] Yes | ON (To be fi nented? | | Recommendation | | | | |
| 1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action | Identified | Rootcause | CTIVE ACTI | ION VERIFICATI Implen []Yes []Yes | ON (To be finented? | | Recommendation | | | | |
| 1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defects | Chec | III, CORREC | Date | ION VERIFICATI Implem [] Yes [] Yes [] Yes [] Yes | ON (To be finented? []No []No []No | lled out by QA I | Recommendation | | | | |
| 1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defects | Chec | III, CORREC | Date | ION VERIFICATI Implem [] Yes [] Ges, corrective actigation Report st | ON (To be finented? []No []No []No | lled out by QA I | Recommendation n-charge) Remarks | | | | |
| 1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defects | Chec | III, CORREC | Date | Implem [] Yes [] Indicate the second secon | ON (To be finented? [] No [] No [] No [] No | lled out by QA I | Recommendation n-charge) Remarks losed. If the same problem of d department to provide new | | | | |
| 1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defect deliveries or 3rd verification | Chec | III, CORREC | Date | Implem [] Yes [] Indicate the second secon | ON (To be finented? [] No [] No [] No [] No tion is considerable be re-issued. | lled out by QA I | Recommendation n-charge) Remarks losed. If the same problem of d department to provide new | improvement action. | | | |
| 1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defect deliveries or 3rd verification Status: | Chec | III, CORREC | Date Date tive deliverinted, Invest | Implem [] Yes [] Indicate the second secon | ON (To be finented? [] No [] No [] No tion is considual be re-issues DSURE ved by: | lled out by QA I | Recommendation n-charge) Remarks losed. If the same problem of d department to provide new | improvement action. | | | |